

Theoretical Studies of the Optical Spectra and EPR Parameters of $\text{CaWO}_4\text{: Sm}^{3+}$ Crystal

Hui-Ning Dong^{a,b,c}, Hong Tang^a, Xiao-Bing Luo^c, and Shao-Yi Wu^{b,d}

^a Institute of Applied Physics, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, P. R. China

^b International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, P. R. China

^c College of Electronic Engineering, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, P. R. China

^d Department of Applied Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, P. R. China

Reprint requests to H.-N. D.; E-mail: donghn@cqupt.edu.cn

Z. Naturforsch. **59a**, 113 – 115 (2004); received November 16, 2003

The optical spectra and EPR parameters (g factors g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} and hyperfine structure parameters A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp} of ^{147}Sm and ^{149}Sm) of Sm^{3+} in CaWO_4 crystal are calculated from the second-order perturbation formulas of EPR parameters for a $4f^5$ ion in tetragonal symmetry. In these formulas, the J -mixing among the $^6\text{H}_J$ ($J = 5/2, 7/2$ and $9/2$) states via crystal-field interactions, the mixtures among the states with the same J value via spin-orbit coupling interaction and the interactions between the lowest Kramers doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ and the same irreducible representations in the other 11 Kramers doublets Γ_X via the crystal-field and orbital angular momentum (or hyperfine structure) are considered. The theoretical results agree reasonably with the observed values.

Key words: EPR; Crystal Field Theory; Sm^{3+} ; CaWO_4 .

1. Introduction

Scheelite structure crystals (of CaWO_4 type) doped with rare-earth ions exhibit good fluorescence and are usually used as laser hosts for their high velocity of sound and large relaxation. Therefore many studies have been made to understand the properties of rare-earth ions in these materials [1–4]. For instance, the optical spectra and EPR parameters (g factors g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} and hyperfine structure parameters A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp} of $^{147}\text{Sm}^{3+}$ and $^{149}\text{Sm}^{3+}$) of Sm^{3+} in CaWO_4 crystal were measured decades ago [5–7], but until now no theoretical explanation has been made for these experimental results. The g factors of Sm^{3+} in crystals were often studied roughly by using first-order perturbation formulas and considering only the interactions in the lowest $^6\text{H}_{5/2}$ state. Since $A_{\parallel}g_{\perp}/A_{\perp}g_{\parallel}$ differs considerably from unity, this is not adequate for the theoretical calculation of g factors within the ground $^6\text{H}_{5/2}$ multiplet [6, 8]. In this paper we consider the contributions to EPR parameters due to 1) the J -mixing among the ground $^6\text{H}_{5/2}$, the first excited $^6\text{H}_{7/2}$ and

the second excited $^6\text{H}_{9/2}$ states via crystal-field interactions (thus a $24 \cdot 24$ energy matrix should be used), 2) the admixtures among the states with the same J values (i.e., $^6\text{H}_{5/2}$, $^4\text{G}_{15/2}$ and $^4\text{G}_{45/2}$; $^6\text{H}_{7/2}$, $^4\text{G}_{17/2}$ and $^4\text{G}_{47/2}$; $^6\text{H}_{9/2}$, $^4\text{G}_{19/2}$ and $^4\text{G}_{49/2}$) via spin-orbit coupling interactions, 3) the contribution due to the admixtures between the lowest Kramers doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ and the other Kramers doublets (or irreducible representations) Γ_X via crystal-field and orbital angular momentum interactions (which results in second-order perturbation terms) and 4) the covalence reduction effects.

By diagonalizing the $24 \cdot 24$ energy matrix, the crystal-field energy levels, the ground doublet wave functions $\Gamma\gamma$ and other 11 Kramers doublets Γ_X can be obtained. In this paper we establish the perturbation formulas of the EPR parameters for a $4f^5$ ion in tetragonal symmetry by considering all the above interactions. With these formulas the EPR g factors and hyperfine structure parameters A of $^{147}\text{Sm}^{3+}$ and $^{149}\text{Sm}^{3+}$ for a tetragonal Sm^{3+} center in a CaWO_4 crystal are studied. Thus, the EPR g factors and the optical spectra of a tetragonal Sm^{3+} center in CaWO_4

crystal are studied in a unified way. The results are discussed.

2. Theory and Calculation

CaWO₄ crystal has the scheelite structure, which belongs to the I4₁/a space group. Because of the similar radii of Sm³⁺ and Ca²⁺ ions ($r \approx 0.964$ Å for Sm³⁺, $r \approx 0.99$ Å for Ca²⁺ and $r \approx 0.70$ Å for W⁴⁺ [9]), the doped Sm³⁺ ion substitutes a Ca²⁺ ion. The point symmetry at the site of Ca²⁺ is S₄, but approximates D_{2d} symmetry, so it is considered to be D_{2d} by many authors [10–12]. For simplicity we also apply the D_{2d} approximation.

Sm³⁺ has a 4f⁵ electronic configuration, the ground state ⁶H_{5/2}, the first excited one ⁶H_{7/2} and second excited one ⁶H_{9/2} [13,14]. The tetragonal crystal-field splits the above states into 3, 4, and 5 Kramers doublets, respectively. Considering the crystal-field *J*-mixing among the ⁶H_{5/2}, ⁶H_{7/2}, and ⁶H_{9/2} states, thus the wave functions of the lowest Kramers doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ and other 11 Kramers doublets can be obtained by diagonalizing a 24 · 24 energy matrix of the 4f⁵ ion in tetragonal symmetry. In addition, because of the admixtures among the states with the same *J* values via spin-orbit coupling interaction, the wave function of the lowest doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ can be expressed as

$$|\Gamma\gamma(\text{or } \gamma')\rangle = \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M_{J1}} C(^6H_{5/2}; \Gamma\gamma(\text{or } \gamma') M_{J1}) N_{5/2} \left(|^6H_{5/2} M_{J1}\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda_{G1} |^4G_{15/2} M_{J1}\rangle + \lambda_{G4} |^4G_{45/2} M_{J1}\rangle \right) \\ & + \sum_{M_{J2}} C(^6H_{7/2}; \Gamma\gamma(\text{or } \gamma') M_{J2}) N_{7/2} \left(|^6H_{7/2} M_{J2}\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda'_{G1} |^4G_{17/2} M_{J2}\rangle + \lambda'_{G4} |^4G_{47/2} M_{J2}\rangle \right) \\ & + \sum_{M_{J3}} C(^6H_{9/2}; \Gamma\gamma(\text{or } \gamma') M_{J3}) N_{9/2} \left(|^6H_{9/2} M_{J3}\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda''_{G1} |^4G_{19/2} M_{J3}\rangle + \lambda''_{G4} |^4G_{49/2} M_{J3}\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

where γ and γ' stand for the two components of the Γ irreducible representation. λ_i and N_i are, respectively, the mixing coefficients and normalization factors. They can be obtained from the spin-orbit coupling matrix elements and perturbation method. M_{J1} , M_{J2} , and M_{J3} are the half-integers in the ranges $-5/2 \sim 5/2$, $-7/2 \sim 7/2$, and $-9/2 \sim 9/2$, respectively.

The perturbation Hamiltonian for a rare earth ion in the crystal under an external magnetic field can be written as [13]

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{H}_{so} + \hat{H}_{CF} + \hat{H}_Z, \quad (2)$$

where \hat{H}_{so} is the spin-orbit coupling interaction and \hat{H}_{CF} is the crystal field Hamiltonian. \hat{H}_{so} can be expressed as

$$\hat{H}_{so} = \zeta(L \cdot S), \quad (3)$$

where ζ is the spin-orbit coupling coefficient. Here we take $\zeta \approx 1396$ cm⁻¹. L and S are the orbital and spin momentum operators, respectively. The crystal-field interaction \hat{H}_{CF} can be expressed in terms of the tensor operators C_k^q [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{CF} = & B_2^0 C_2^0 + B_4^0 C_4^0 + B_4^4 (C_4^4 + C_4^{-4}) \\ & + B_6^0 C_6^0 + B_6^4 (C_6^4 + C_6^{-4}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where B_k^q are the crystal field parameters. From the Zeeman interaction $\hat{H}_Z (= g_J \mu_B \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{J}$, with their usual meanings [13,14]) or the hyperfine interaction $\hat{H}_{hf} (= PN_J \hat{N}$, where P is the dipolar hyperfine structure constant and N_J is the diagonal matrix element for ^{2S+1}L_J state [13]) and in consideration of the contribution due to the interactions between the ground doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ and other 11 Kramers doublets Γ_x , the second-order perturbation formulas of the EPR parameters of the ground doublet $\Gamma\gamma$ for an 4f⁵ ion in tetragonal symmetry can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\parallel} &= g_{\parallel}^{(1)} + g_{\parallel}^{(2)}, \quad g_{\parallel}^{(1)} = 2g_J \langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{J}_z | \Gamma\gamma \rangle, \\ g_{\parallel}^{(2)} &= 2 \sum_x' \frac{\langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{H}_{CF} | \Gamma_x \gamma_x \rangle \langle \Gamma_x \gamma_x | \hat{J}_z | \Gamma\gamma \rangle}{E(\Gamma_x) - E(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\perp} &= g_{\perp}^{(1)} + g_{\perp}^{(2)}, \\ g_{\perp}^{(1)} &= 2g_J \langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{J}_x | \Gamma\gamma' \rangle, \quad g_{\perp}^{(2)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\parallel} &= A_{\parallel}^{(1)} + A_{\parallel}^{(2)}, \quad A_{\parallel}^{(1)} = 2PN_J \langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{N}_z | \Gamma\gamma' \rangle, \\ A_{\parallel}^{(2)} &= 2P \sum_x' \frac{\langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{H}_{CF} | \Gamma_x \gamma_x \rangle \langle \Gamma_x \gamma_x | \hat{N}_z | \Gamma\gamma' \rangle}{E(\Gamma_x) - E(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$A_{\perp} = A_{\perp}^{(1)} + A_{\perp}^{(2)},$$

$$A_{\perp}^{(1)} = 2PN_J \langle \Gamma\gamma | \hat{N}_x | \Gamma\gamma' \rangle, \quad A_{\perp}^{(2)} = 0,$$

where the parameters g_J , g_J' , N_J and N_J' (g_J' and N_J' occur in the expansions of the above formulas) for various states can be obtained from [13] and [14].

Table 1. Free ion parameters of Sm³⁺ (in units of cm⁻¹) [16].

F^2	F^4	F^6	α	β	r	P_0 (¹⁴⁷ Sm) [13]	P_0 (¹⁴⁹ Sm) [13]
78749	57785	39557.6	20.16	-566.9	1500	-51.7(6) · 10 ⁻⁴	-41.8(6) · 10 ⁻⁴

Table 2. The energy levels of the tetragonal Sm³⁺ center in CaWO₄ crystal (in units of cm⁻¹).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Cal.	0	89	264	1028	1095	1106	1227	2297	2336	2373	2386	2494
Exp.[5]	0	67	222	1061	1091	1219	1288	2265	2275	2319	2403	2494

Table 3. EPR parameters of the tetragonal Sm³⁺ center in CaWO₄ crystal.

	$g_{ }$	g_{\perp}	$A_{ }$ (¹⁴⁷ Sm)	A_{\perp} (¹⁴⁷ Sm)	$A_{ }$ (¹⁴⁹ Sm)	A_{\perp} (¹⁴⁹ Sm)
Cal.	0.4441	0.6414	66.1(8)	240.1(29)	53.6(8)	194.7(28)
Expt. [6]	0.4396(5)	0.6416(1)	65.1(4)	245.3(6)	53.7(4)	200.3(7)
Expt. [7]	0.440(5)	0.646(5)	66(1)	244(2)	54(1)	202(2)

The free ion values (Coulomb repulsion F^K , two-body interaction parameters α , β , r [16] and the dipolar hyperfine structure constant P_0 [13]) for Sm³⁺ are collected in Table 1. Because of the covalence of the Sm³⁺-O²⁻ bonds, the orbital reduction factor k should be used. Here we take $k \approx 0.983$.

Applying all these parameters to the 42 · 42 energy matrix and hence to (5) and (6), and fitting the optical spectra and calculated EPR parameters to those of the observed values, the best fitting results of the crystal parameters for the CaWO₄:Sm³⁺ crystal are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^0 &\approx 567 \text{ cm}^{-1}, B_4^0 \approx -755 \text{ cm}^{-1}, B_4^4 \approx -808 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \\ B_6^0 &\approx 293 \text{ cm}^{-1}, B_6^4 \approx -158 \text{ cm}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The results of the optical spectra and EPR parameters are compared with those of the observed values in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

3. Discussion

From Table 2, it can be seen that the calculated results of calculated optical spectra are reasonable consistent with the observed values. So the parameters used in this paper can be regarded as reasonable.

From Table 3 one can see that the calculated EPR parameters ($g_{||}$, g_{\perp} and $A_{||}$, A_{\perp} of ¹⁴⁷Sm and ¹⁴⁹Sm) of Sm³⁺ in CaWO₄ crystal agree with the observed ones. Therefore the perturbation formulas of the EPR parameters for 4f⁵ ions and the method used in this paper can be regarded as reasonable. The method is also effective in other similar systems.

From the above studies we find that, if considering only the interactions within the lowest ⁶H_{5/2} state, the EPR parameters in agree poorly with the experimental values. For instance $g_{||} \approx 0.2552$ and $g_{\perp} \approx 0.7656$ differ much from the observed values $g_{||} \approx 0.440$ and $g_{\perp} \approx 0.646$ [7]. Since $g_{||}/g_{\perp}$ and $A_{||}/A_{\perp}$ differ considerably from unity, the method is not adequate for the theoretical calculation of EPR parameters within the ground ⁶H_{5/2} multiplet. Otherwise, the contributions to EPR parameters due to the second-order term $g_{||}^{(2)}$ (or $A_{||}^{(2)}$) and the admixture among the states with the same J values are very small ($\approx 2\%$). So, the dominant contribution to the EPR parameters for the tetragonal Sm³⁺ ion is due to the crystal-field J -mixing among ⁶H_{*J*} ($J = 5/2, 7/2$ and $9/2$) multiplets.

- [1] A. W. Kueny, W. E. Case, and M. E. Koch, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B **10**, 1834 (1993).
- [2] M. Malinowski, M. F. Joubert, and B. Jacquier, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 12367 (1994).
- [3] P. H. Haumesser, R. Gaume, B. Vina, E. Antic-Fidancev, and D. Vivien, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 5427 (2001).
- [4] D. J. Newman and B. Ng, Crystal Handbook, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2000.
- [5] M. J. Treadaway and R. C. Powell, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 862 (1975).
- [6] J. Kirton, Phys. Lett. **16**, 209 (1965).
- [7] A. A. Ahmuni and N. K. Kypkuh, Solid State Phys. **7**, 3209 (1965).
- [8] M. Yamaga, M. Honda, J.-P. R. Wells, T. P. J. Han, and H. G. Gallagher, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 8727 (2000).
- [9] R. C. Weast, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press, Boca Raton 1989, F187.
- [10] Vishwamitta and S. P. Puri, J. Chem. Phys. **61**, 3720 (1974).
- [11] V. Kumar and K. Chandra, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) **75K1** (1976).
- [12] J. G. Gualitieri and D. P. D. Lhery, J. Chem. Phys. **53**, 1541 (1971).
- [13] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions, Oxford University Press, London 1970.
- [14] I. A. Sorin and M. V. Vlasova, Electron Spin resonance of paramagnetic crystals (Translated from Russian by P. Gluck), Plenum Press, New York, 1973.
- [15] M. Gutowska and P. Porcher, Physica B **111**, 257 (1981).
- [16] J.-P. R. Wells, A. Sugiyama, T. P. J. Han, and H. G. Gallagher, J. Lumin. **85**, 91(1999).